

United States Parent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,704	12/28/2000	J. A. Nolen	1387.006USU	9405	
75	90 02/08/2002				
George W. Rauchfuss, Jr. Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. One Landmark Square, Ninth Floor			EXAMINER		
			LEVY, NEIL S		
Stamford, CT	06901-2682		ART UNIT PAPER NUM	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1616	<u>ડ</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracinark Office Address: COMMISSIONAL OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
· APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO. 10 15 15 15 15

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED:

	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of yo COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	our application.			,
	, OFF	FICE ACTION SUMMARY			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/28/00	· .		•
L				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	This action is FINAL.				* • ;
	Since this application is in condition for allowance a accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quaylo	xcept for formal matters, prosecution, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the merits is	closed in	
``∵w th	shortened statutory period for response to this action alchever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133) 136(a).	inication. Failure to respond within the	menth(e), or the period for response and under the provision	will cause	- *****
D	sposition of Claims				
ΙŃ	Ctaim(s)	, , ,	is/are pendir	ng in the application.	
. 7	Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn	from consideration.	
	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
Ę	Claim(s)			is/are rejected. are objected to.	;
	Claim(s) / -2 /	are su			
٠,			•	·	
A	pplication Papers				
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	•			
Ŀ	The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected	to by the Examiner.	disapproved.	
	The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	# 1.F Q.,	is [] approved	сізарріочесі.	
Ē	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.			•
P	lority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				٠
C	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		•	
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED	copies of the priority documents have	ve been	·	
	received.				
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial		·		
	received in this national stage application from	the International Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	•	•
	*Certified copies not received:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		•	
A	tachment(s)				•
Ē	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892		•		
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa	aper No(s).		,	
Г	Interview Summary, PTO-413				
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	O-948			
_	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
_	•	ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PA	GES		
			- -	A (18 ODO: 1008.404.40	wa/40217

Application/Control Number: 09/752,704

Art Unit: 1616

Receipt is acknowledged of Declaration, and IDS of 3/2/01 and 12/28/00, respectively.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 2, drawn to compositon, classified in class 514, subclass 7.
- II. Claims 3-17, drawn to method, classified in class 424, subclass 409.
- III. Claims 18-21, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 415.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of Groups I, III and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case products and claimed can be used in materially different methods, such as perfuming, or repelling animals.

The article of Group III is independent and patentably distinct from Group I, as Group I can be used in other articles, such as in feeds.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of evaporation, Atomization ionic dispersion.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 3-17 are of group II generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,704

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention: species with or without Carbon dioxide.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 3-12 are, of Group II generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,704

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/752,704

Art Unit: 1616

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I, II or III is not required for Group III, II or I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec. 812.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is 703-308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Levy/LR

February 5, 2002

NEIL S. LEVY
PRIMARY EXAMINER